

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 0 6 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Lisa Madigan

January 3, 2005

The Honorable Dorothy Gunn
Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500
100 West Randolph
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Re:

People v. Altman-Charter Company, et al.

PCB No. 05-96

Dear Clerk Gunn:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten copies of a NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING-REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT in regard to the above-captioned matter. Please file the originals and return file-stamped copies of the documents to our office in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

Kristen Laughridge Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street

Springfield, Illinois 62706

(217) 782-9031

KL/pp Enclosures

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD CLERK'S OFFICE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF)	JAN 0 6 2005
ILLINOIS, Complainant,)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
vs.) PCB No. 05-96) (Enforcement)	·
ALTMAN-CHARTER COMPANY, a Missouri corporation,)	
Respondent.)	

NOTICE OF FILING

To: ALTMAN-CHARTER COMPANY

c/o Mark C. Goldenberg 2227 S. State Route 157 Edwardsville, IL 62025

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date I mailed for filing with the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board of the State of Illinois, a MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT, a copy of which is attached hereto and herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief

Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos

Litigation Division

RY.

KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE

Assistant Attorney General

Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: January 3, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on January 3, 2005, send by First Class Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, by depositing in a United States Post Office Box a true and correct copy of the following instruments entitled NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT:

To: ALTMAN-CHARTER COMPANY
a Missouri corporation
c/o Mark C. Goldenberg
2227 S. State Route 157
Edwardsville, IL 62025

and the original and ten copies by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid of the same foregoing instrument(s):

To: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
Suite 11-500
100 West Randolph
Chicago, Illinois 60601

A copy was also sent by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid to:

Carol Webb Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 1021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, IL 62794

Kristen Laughridge

Assistant Attorney General

This filing is submitted on recycled paper.

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

RE		20720	600	V	-	
CL	ERK	'S	Ö	FF	İCE	- Semi

JAN 0 6 2005

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	STATE OF HUMOIO
)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Complainant,	1	· onation Control Board
Complainant,	,	
)	
v.) PCB NO. 05-96	
) (Enforcement)	
ALTMAN-CHARTER COMPANY, a	j	
	<u>'</u>	
Missouri corporation,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

- 1. On November 17, 2004, Complainant filed a Complaint with the Board, alleging violations by the Respondent of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and Board Regulations.
 - 2. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.
- 3. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, filed contemporaneously with this motion.
- 4. All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division

KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE

Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031

Dated: January 3, 2005

BEF	ORE THE ILLINOIS PO	LLTON	CONTROL BOAR	CLERK'S OFFICE
PEOPLE OF THE	STATE OF ILLINOIS,)		JAN 0 6 2005
	Complainant,)))	***	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
) I	PCB 05-96	
V.)	(Enforcement)
ALTMAN-CHARTER	COMPANY, a))		

Respondent.

Missouri corporation,

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA
MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois
Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and AltmanCharter Company ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this
Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and
submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for
approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts
contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and
testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing
were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of
facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and
that neither the fact that a party has entered into this
Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be

introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Parties

1. On November 17, 2004, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the

request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.

- 2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).
- 3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is a Missouri corporation that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.

B. Site Description and History

In 1992 and 1993, the Village of North City in Franklin County, Illinois employed Altman-Charter Company to construct a new sewer system. A sewage force main and a sewage lift station installed with the new system were not included within the 1992 permit's final plans and specifications for the project.

C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I: Improper sewer construction, in violation of Section 12(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(c)(2002), and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 309.202(a).

D. Admission of Violations

The Defendant represents that it has entered into this

Consent Order for the purpose of settling and compromising

disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested

litigation. By entering into this Consent Order and complying

with its terms, the Defendant does not affirmatively admit the

allegations of violation within the Complaint, and this Consent

Order shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local

laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c)(2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
- 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the parties state the following:

1. Human health and the environment were minimally threatened by the Respondent's violations.

- 2. There are social and economic benefits as to the sewer systems.
- 3. The sewer systems are suitable for the area in which such were installed.
- 4. Obtaining a permit prior to construction and compliance with its terms are both technically practicable and economically reasonable.
 - 5. The Respondent has subsequently been in compliance.

VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance

- with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

- 1. The Village of North City engaged an outside independent Engineer whose responsibility included obtaining all permits required by the Act. The Engineer hired by the Village of North City failed to include the sewer force main and a sewage lift station within the final plans and specifications for the sewer system improvements of the 1992 permit issued by the Illinois EPA. The Respondent failed to verify that the appropriate permits were obtained by the Village of North City and its Engineer or other representatives.
- 2. Compliance was achieved upon discovery of the violation.
 - 3. . No economic benefit was accrued.

- 4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter that a penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.
- 5. Respondent has no prior adjudicated violations of the Act and Board regulations.
 - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter
- 7. The settlement of this matter does include a supplemental environmental project (SEP), which is a portion of the total penalty. The SEP is discussed below in Section VIII.A.

VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. Supplemental Environmental Project

1. In order to promote the goals of the Act to restore, protect and enhance the quality of the environment, Respondent shall perform the following supplemental environmental project ("SEP"). The value of the SEP is two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00). The parties agree that this SEP consists of a monetary payment of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) to the Benton School District Greening Program. The Respondent shall make the payment to the Benton School District Greening Program within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation.

B. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The penalty described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN), 43-0924642, shall appear on the check. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Kristen Laughridge Environmental Bureau Attorney General's Office 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62702

Joey Logan-Wilkey Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received.
- 3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Goldenberg, Miller, Heller & Antognoli, P.C. 2227 South State Route 157 Edwardsville, IL 62025

4. In the event of default of Section VIII.A or Section VIII.B, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

C. Compliance Plan

The site has since been brought into compliance by the Village of North City.

D. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.F, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2002). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

E. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

F. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$2,000.00 SEP, \$500.00 penalty, and any specified costs and accrued interest, completion of all activities required hereunder, to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.E and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from

any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal,
 local, and common laws and/or regulations;
- c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

Should the State of Illinois exercise its reserved right with respect to any of the matters listed above, Respondent

reserves all of its rights to fully contest and defend itself as to those matters reserved.

G. Execution of Document

This Order shall become effective only when executed by all parties and the Court. This Order may be executed by the parties in one or more counterparts, all of which taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.

H. Enforcement of Board Order

- 1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.
- 2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.
- 3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.
- 4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of

competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

D	V	

THOMAS DAVIS, Chief Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General DATE: //03/05-

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

DV.

JOSEPH E. SVOBODA Chief Legal Counsel DATE: /2-9-04

ALTMAN-CHARTER COMPANY

. זרם

rame: John G. Alessi

Title: Corp. Sacratary

DATE: 12/27/04